



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Handwritten signature

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/520,136 | 01/03/2005 | Bang Luu | 263662US0PCT | 9519 |

22850 7590 06/13/2007
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

| | |
|--------------|--|
| EXAMINER | |
| CHENG, KAREN | |

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1626 | |

| | |
|-------------------|---------------|
| NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| 06/13/2007 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/520,136 | Applicant(s) LUU ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Karen Cheng | Art Unit 1626 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Karen Cheng. (3) Harris Pitlick.
 (2) Kamal Saeed. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 June 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-6.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lavielle et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



KAMAL A. SAEED, PH.D.
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
 Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The possibility of incorporating the limitations of claim 2 into claim 1 so that n is a minimum of 10 in order to overcome the prior art rejections was discussed, and it appeared this amendment would overcome all the prior art rejections. Reconsideration of the restriction requirement would be given once the subject matter was found allowable, namely more than R1, R2, R3, and R4 could be alkoxy instead of only one of these groups being alkoxy. .